# **Social Networks**





Steven M. Bellovin \_\_ March 2, 2010 \_\_ 1

#### What's a Social Network?

• You all know what they are...



Steven M. Bellovin \_\_\_ March 2, 2010 \_\_\_ 2

#### What's a Social Network?

- Personal presence
- Personal data
- Links to/from other people
- Messaging



Steven M. Bellovin \_\_ March 2, 2010 \_\_\_ 3

#### **Personal Presence**

- Individuals, not corporations (originally...)
- Many-to-many, rather than few-to-many
- Not just "content" versus "eyeballs"
  - Persistent and findable state



#### **Personal Data**

- What individuals want to post
- A personal statement to the world
- What does someone's page say about them?
- How accurate are some of these portrayals?



### Links

- Who has an association with whom
- The really unique feature of social networks hyperlinks now point to *people*, not web sites
- The source of the power and the danger of social network sites



# Messaging

- Tied to underlying structure
- Closed environment can be well-integrated
- Avoid spam?



Steven M. Bellovin \_\_\_ March 2, 2010 \_\_\_ 7

## **How Novel Is All This?**

- Personal web pages have existed for a long time
- Personal data has long been posted on Usenet
- We've had hyperlinks for a long time
- Email is positively ancient
- Why are social networks suddenly so popular?



# **A Historical Look**

- Before ~1975, it wasn't possible to conceive of personal use of computers — computers were too expensive
- Universities receiving Federal money were required to charge for CPU time
- Minicomputers started to become reasonably common by the mid-1970s, but access was still limited to a very few people, typically scientists and a few students



# ARPANET

- A government-run network
- To connect, you needed to be a government agency or have a research contract from DARPA
- Mostly CS departments and a few industrial research labs
- Not accessible to most people (Al Gore helped change that)
- There were two major people-oriented mailing lists, SF-LOVERS and HUMAN-NETS.



#### Usenet

- Usenet: Unix-based; open to any site with a modem
- There were a few hand-built autodialers; later, Bell Labs and DEC handled the long-haul traffic
- In modern terms, a peer-to-peer network
- But who had access to Unix timesharing systems? Again, rather limited. That said, Usenet was explicitly intended for personal as well as professional use
- Postings were transient; no permanent presence
- Gateway to ARPANET mailing lists set up around 1982 at Berkeley



#### **BBSs**

- Hobbyist-run "bulletin board systems" (early) PCs with a few modems
- Many different, specialized ones
- The first dedicated personal systems



# **All the Pieces**

- By 1995, we had many Internet-connected Windows PCs
- The Web and GUI browsers existed
- Many people had access through work; an increasing number had access via personal machines
- What was the crucial innovation?



# **Linking to People**

- The crucial innovation
- Mirrored real-world behavior: "a friend of a friend"
- Encouraged use within groups



### **The Network Effect**

- Metcalfe's Law: the utility of a network grows as the square of the number of nodes
- People join a social network because their friends are on it
- The intrinisic merit matters less



### **Communities of Interest**

- Facebook is, of course the biggest player
- But it was originally restricted to college campuses but those are very natural affinity groups
- Fastest growing group now: women in their 50s
- Why? To stay in touch with their grandchildren



### **Different Communities Elsewhere**

- Orkut is popular in India and Brazil
- Myspace is more "blue collar" than Facebook
- Again what matters is where your friends are



Steven M. Bellovin \_\_ March 2, 2010 \_\_ 17

### **Social Network Applications**

- One of the (other) early reasons for Facebook's success
- Build applications on data that is *existing* and *structured*
- The former cuts the startup overhead
- The latter is crucial to many different Internet apps *much* better than screen-scraping



# **Piling On**

- Sites like Facebook now have groups (sub-networks?), applications, messaging, and more
- There are commercial presences
- Why not just do this on the Web?



# **Mission Drift**

- Facebook today is far more than the original vision
- Twitter has drifted even further
- This is actually a good sign it shows that the underlying technology is flexible



### Ease of Use

- Easy for users simple interface for doing common things (supported by complex — but closed — back end)
- Build on the strengths of the underlying system
- Structured data, network effect, and closed nature make social networking sites "sticky"



Steven M. Bellovin \_\_ March 2, 2010 \_\_ 21

# **Could the Party End?**

- Sure AOL didn't last as the dominant player, either
- Can a meta-site pull the structured data from different social networks, aggregate it, and present it via a single interface?
- Certainly those already exist
- The hard part: making it easy to "friend" and be friended by people with just web pages



# Paying for It

- So far, there is not a clear business model for social networks
- Most rely on ads today, but it's unclear if those provide enough revenue
- There is a lot of user data available to advertisers, but exploiting it creates major threats to privacy



# **Privacy Issues**

- Link analysis
- (Ab)use of data and affiliate deals by site (e.g., Facebook's Beacon system)
- Personal data disclosure

