

Networked Storage

Networked Storage

Risks

Types of Networked

Storage

Remote File System

Remote Disk

Locking

Major Networked

Storage Systems

NFS

CIFS

Remote Disks

Storage and the Internet

Networked Storage



Networked Storage

Networked Storage

Networked Storage

Risks Types of Networked Storage

Remote File System

Remote Disk

Locking

Major Networked Storage Systems

NFS

CIFS

Remote Disks

- For at least 20 years, some computers have accessed disks over the net
- Initially, that was because disks were too expensive to put on every small computer; now, it's for distributed access, large file storage, and manageability



Risks

Networked Storage

Networked Storage

Risks

Types of Networked Storage

Remote File System

Remote Disk

Locking

Major Networked Storage Systems

NFS

CIFS

Remote Disks

- Confidentiality spy on disk files
- Integrity modify files
- Availability
- Note the special concern: unauthorized access can violate assumptions based on operating system file permissions



Types of Networked Storage

Networked Storage

Networked Storage

Risks

Types of Networked Storage

Remote File System

Remote Disk

Locking

Major Networked

Storage Systems

NFS

CIFS

Remote Disks

- Remote file system
- Remote disk
- For both, is the storage reasonably local to the client or accessed across the Internet?



Remote File System

Networked Storage

Networked Storage

Risks

Types of Networked Storage

Remote File System

Remote Disk Locking Major Networked Storage Systems

NFS

CIFS

Remote Disks

- Access is to files, directories, etc.
- Must match OS file semantics
- Must implement and honor OS file permissions
- Consequence: must have some notion of OS userids
- Complexity: what happens if a single storage device is serving multiple computers with different userids?



Remote Disk

Networked Storage

Networked Storage

Risks

Types of Networked Storage

Remote File System

Remote Disk

Locking Major Networked Storage Systems

NFS

CIFS

Remote Disks

- Access is to disk blocks
- Simpler to implement; more portable
- Harder to share between computers can two (or more) computers access the same "disk drive" at the same time?
- That can be done and has been done, for at least 30 years but it requires special OS-level support for shared drives



Locking

Networked Storage

Networked Storage Risks Types of Networked Storage

Remote File System
Remote Disk

Locking

Major Networked Storage Systems

NFS

CIFS

Remote Disks

- Locking mechanisms are crucial to either scheme
- For remote file systems, OS-type locking is needed, i.e., the Unix flock() system call
- For remote disks, the lock protocol is more subtle, and may involve OS access to file system metadata such as the free block list
- The lock mechanism itself can be a source of vulnerability



Major Networked Storage Systems

Networked Storage

Networked Storage

Risks

Types of Networked Storage

Remote File System

Remote Disk

Locking

Major Networked Storage Systems

NFS

CIFS

Remote Disks

- NFS (Unix remote file system)
- CIFS (Windows remote file system)
- iSCSI (SCSI disks over IP)
- FCIP and iFCP (Fibre Channel disks over IP)



Networked Storage

NFS

NFS

NFS Technology

Traditional Flow of

Control

Finding the Mount

Daemon

rpcinfo

The Mount Daemon

Querying the Mount

Daemon

File Handles

File-Handle Guessing

Attack

A Digression on

Randomness

Requirements for

Using

Pseudo-Random

Number Generators

Random Seeds

Authentication and

NFS

UID Mapping

Risks of Traditional

NFS

File-Locking

NFSv4

Three Different

Attack Vectors

CIFS

NFS



NFS

Networked Storage

NFS

NFS

NFS Technology Traditional Flow of Control Finding the Mount Daemon

rpcinfo
The Mount Daemon
Querying the Mount

Daemon

File Handles
File-Handle Guessing
Attack

A Digression on

Randomness

Requirements for

Using

Pseudo-Random

Number Generators

Random Seeds

Authentication and

NFS

UID Mapping

Risks of Traditional

NFS

File-Locking

NFSv4

Three Different Attack Vectors Originally developed by Sun Microsystems

Intention: support diskless workstations

 Now supported by all Unix variants; also available for Windows

■ Large storage appliances implement it, too



NFS Technology

Networked Storage

NFS

NFS

NFS Technology

Traditional Flow of Control Finding the Mount Daemon

rpcinfo

The Mount Daemon Querying the Mount Daemon

File Handles File-Handle Guessing Attack A Digression on

Randomness Requirements for

Using

Pseudo-Random Number Generators

Random Seeds Authentication and NFS

UID Mapping Risks of Traditional NFS

File-Locking

NFSv4

Three Different Attack Vectors Based on Remote Procedure Calls (RPC)

- (As we'll see in a few days, this is a source of a lot of security trouble in some environments)
- Original version ran over UDP only (again, a source of security trouble)
- Server was stateless (except for locking); all state kept on the client
- More recent versions use TCP

11 / 46



Traditional Flow of Control

Networked Storage

NFS

NFS

NFS Technology

Traditional Flow of Control

Finding the Mount Daemon

rpcinfo

The Mount Daemon Querying the Mount Daemon

File Handles
File-Handle Guessing
Attack
A Digression on
Randomness

Requirements for

Using

Pseudo-Random Number Generators

Random Seeds Authentication and NFS

UID Mapping Risks of Traditional NFS

File-Locking

NFSv4

Three Different Attack Vectors RPC call to find mount server

- RPC call to mount file system
 - Authentication happens at mount time
 - Credential returned is mediates all further access
- RPC operations to (kernel-resident) NFS server for I/O
- RPC operations to (user-level) lock daemons

12 / 46



Finding the Mount Daemon

Networked Storage

NFS

NFS

NFS Technology Traditional Flow of

Control

Finding the Mount Daemon

rpcinfo

The Mount Daemon Querying the Mount Daemon

File Handles

File-Handle Guessing

Attack

A Digression on

Randomness

Requirements for

Using

Pseudo-Random

Number Generators

Random Seeds

Authentication and

NFS

UID Mapping

Risks of Traditional

NFS

File-Locking

NFSv4

Three Different

Attack Vectors

<pre>\$ rpcinfo -p clic.cs.columbia.edu</pre>				
-				
program v	vers	proto	port	service
100024	1	udp	32768	status
100024	1	tcp	32772	status
100003	2	udp	2049	nfs
100003	3	udp	2049	nfs
100003	4	udp	2049	nfs
100003	2	tcp	2049	nfs
100003	3	tcp	2049	nfs
100003	4	tcp	2049	nfs
100005	1	udp	848	mountd
100005	1	tcp	860	mountd
100005	2	udp	848	mountd
100005	2	tcp	860	mountd
100005	3	udp	848	mountd
100005	3	tcp	860	mountd
	program 100024 100024 100003 100003 100003 100005 100005 100005 100005 100005 100005	program vers 100024 1 100003 2 100003 3 100003 4 100003 3 100003 1 100005 1 100005 1 100005 2 100005 2 100005 3	program vers proto 100024	100024 1 udp 32768 100024 1 tcp 32772 100003 2 udp 2049 100003 3 udp 2049 100003 4 udp 2049 100003 2 tcp 2049 100003 4 tcp 2049 100005 1 udp 848 100005 1 tcp 860 100005 2 tcp 860 100005 2 tcp 860 100005 3 udp 848



rpcinfo

Networked Storage

NFS

NFS

NFS Technology Traditional Flow of Control Finding the Mount Daemon

rpcinfo

The Mount Daemon Querying the Mount Daemon

File Handles
File-Handle Guessing
Attack
A Digression on
Randomness

Requirements for Using

Pseudo-Random Number Generators

Random Seeds Authentication and NFS

UID Mapping Risks of Traditional NFS

File-Locking

NFSv4
Three Different
Attack Vectors

Many versions of many protocols available

Access over TCP and UDP

Services live on random port numbers

■ The rpcinfo command queries the portmapper daemon to learn what's available on what port



The Mount Daemon

Networked Storage

NFS

NFS

NFS Technology Traditional Flow of Control Finding the Mount Daemon

rpcinfo

The Mount Daemon

Querying the Mount Daemon

File Handles File-Handle Guessing Attack

A Digression on

Randomness

Requirements for

Using

Pseudo-Random

Number Generators

Random Seeds

Authentication and

NFS

UID Mapping

Risks of Traditional

NFS

File-Locking

NFSv4

CIFS

Three Different Attack Vectors Authenticates the client (but how?)

- Returns the file handle of the root i-node of the exported file system
- File handles are at the heart of NFS operation and NFS security



Querying the Mount Daemon

Networked Storage

NFS

NFS

NFS Technology

Traditional Flow of

Control

Finding the Mount

Daemon

rpcinfo

The Mount Daemon
Querying the Mount
Daemon

File Handles

File-Handle Guessing

Attack

A Digression on

Randomness

Requirements for

Using

Pseudo-Random

Number Generators

Random Seeds

Authentication and

NFS

UID Mapping

Risks of Traditional

NFS

File-Locking

NFSv4

CIFS

Three Different

Attack Vectors

\$ showmount -e shadow.cs.columbia.edu

Exports list on shadow.cs.columbia.edu:

/n/shadow/import/peoplehtml cs-nfsall

/n/shadow/import/html cs-nfsall

/n/shadow/import/http cs-nfsall



File Handles

Networked Storage

NFS

NFS

NFS Technology
Traditional Flow of
Control
Finding the Mount
Daemon

rpcinfo

The Mount Daemon Querying the Mount Daemon

File Handles

File-Handle Guessing
Attack
A Digression on
Randomness
Requirements for
Using
Pseudo-Random
Number Generators

Random Seeds Authentication and NFS

UID Mapping Risks of Traditional NFS

File-Locking

NFSv4

Three Different Attack Vectors

- File handles are random-seeming opaque strings
- Actually, generally composed of device number, i-node number, and a random value
- Every file and every directory has a file handle
- File operations present a file handle; directory lookups return a handle for the new file
- If you know the file handle for a single directory, you can read the entire disk...



File-Handle Guessing Attack

Networked Storage

NFS

NFS

NFS Technology Traditional Flow of Control

Finding the Mount Daemon

rpcinfo

The Mount Daemon Querying the Mount Daemon

File Handles

File-Handle Guessing Attack

A Digression on Randomness Requirements for Using Pseudo-Random Number Generators

Random Seeds Authentication and NFS

UID Mapping Risks of Traditional NFS

File-Locking

CIFS

NFSv4 Three Different Attack Vectors ■ Where does the random value come from?

- Initial value supplied when the file system is initialized
- Where do random numbers come from?
- If the PRNG seed is taken from too small a space, the "random" numbers are guessable
- This once happened; see http://www.cert.org/advisories/CA-1991-21.html
- For better advice on random number generation, see RFC 4086



A Digression on Randomness

Networked Storage

NFS

NFS

NFS Technology Traditional Flow of Control Finding the Mount

Daemon rpcinfo

The Mount Daemon Querying the Mount Daemon

File Handles File-Handle Guessing Attack

A Digression on Randomness

Requirements for Using Pseudo-Random Number Generators

Random Seeds Authentication and NFS

UID Mapping Risks of Traditional NFS

File-Locking

NFSv4

Three Different Attack Vectors Many cryptographic and security systems require unpredictable random numbers

 Computers are not very good at true randomness — ideally, one should use a hardware source, such as a Geiger counter

Most computers don't have Geiger counters...



Networked Storage

NFS

NFS

NFS Technology Traditional Flow of Control Finding the Mount Daemon

rpcinfo

The Mount Daemon Querying the Mount Daemon

File Handles File-Handle Guessing Attack A Digression on

Randomness
Requirements for
Using

Pseudo-Random Number Generators

Random Seeds Authentication and NFS

UID Mapping Risks of Traditional NFS

File-Locking NFSv4

CIFS

Three Different Attack Vectors

Requirements for Using Pseudo-Random Number Generators

- Unpredictable initial seed
- Too large a search space to be brute-forced (at least 64 bits, preferably 128 bits)
- PRNG algorithm (and pattern) that does not permit guessing the next output from having seen the previous one
 - Non-cryptographic generators (i.e., rand() or random()) aren't adequate
 - $R_i = \mathsf{SHA1}(R_{i-1})$ is bad; $R_i = \mathsf{SHA1}(i||\mathsf{seed})$ is good
 - $R_i = \mathsf{HMAC}(\mathsf{seed}, i)$ is better



Random Seeds

Networked Storage

NFS

NFS

NFS Technology Traditional Flow of

Control

Finding the Mount Daemon

rpcinfo

The Mount Daemon Querying the Mount Daemon

File Handles File-Handle Guessing Attack A Digression on Randomness

Requirements for Using

Pseudo-Random Number Generators

Random Seeds

Authentication and NFS

UID Mapping

Risks of Traditional NFS

File-Locking

NFSv4

Three Different Attack Vectors ■ Low-order bits of disconnected microphone input (turn up the gain)

- Low-order bits of disk timing
- Interpacket or interkeystroke arrival times (sometimes)
- All of these sources require post-processing



Authentication and NFS

Networked Storage

NFS

NFS

NFS Technology Traditional Flow of Control Finding the Mount Daemon

rpcinfo

The Mount Daemon Querying the Mount Daemon

File Handles
File-Handle Guessing
Attack
A Digression on
Randomness
Requirements for
Using
Pseudo-Random

Random Seeds
Authentication

Authentication and NFS

Number Generators

UID Mapping Risks of Traditional NFS

File-Locking NFSv4

Three Different
Attack Vectors

 Traditional NFS used address-based system authentication

- That is, the IP address was used to authenticate a system
- The remote system was trusted to enforce userids in I/O requests
- NFSv4 uses cryptographic authentication of individual users, via Kerberos-protected RPC calls — much safer



UID Mapping

Networked Storage

NFS

NFS

NFS Technology Traditional Flow of Control Finding the Mount

Daemon rpcinfo

The Mount Daemon Querying the Mount

Daemon

File Handles
File-Handle Guessing
Attack

A Digression on Randomness

Requirements for

Using

Pseudo-Random

Number Generators Random Seeds

Authentication and NFS

UID Mapping

Risks of Traditional NFS

File-Locking

NFSv4

Three Different Attack Vectors Originally, both systems needed identical UIDs

- Remember this is a kernel-level activity,
 where UIDs are used, not user names
- One early exception: root was mapped to some other ID
- Today, general UID maps can be loaded



Risks of Traditional NFS

Networked Storage

NFS

NFS

NFS Technology Traditional Flow of Control Finding the Mount

Daemon

rpcinfo

The Mount Daemon Querying the Mount Daemon

File Handles File-Handle Guessing Attack

A Digression on Randomness

Requirements for

Using

NFS

Pseudo-Random Number Generators

Random Seeds Authentication and

UID Mapping

Risks of Traditional NFS

File-Locking NFSv4 Three Different Attack Vectors Full trust in remote system

- Full trust in LAN eavesdropping on a LAN is trivial
- Arguably reasonable 20 years ago but far from acceptable today



File-Locking

Networked Storage

NFS

NFS

NFS Technology Traditional Flow of Control Finding the Mount Daemon

rpcinfo

The Mount Daemon Querying the Mount Daemon

File Handles
File-Handle Guessing
Attack
A Digression on
Randomness

Requirements for Using

Pseudo-Random Number Generators

Random Seeds Authentication and NFS

UID Mapping Risks of Traditional NFS

File-Locking

NFSv4 Three Different Attack Vectors File-locking is done by a separate process

Again, RPC is used

■ A user-level process is used to permit easy disk I/O — lock information is written to disk, because the main path of an NFS server is stateless and won't remember locks after a reboot



NFSv4

Networked Storage

NFS

NFS

NFS Technology Traditional Flow of Control Finding the Mount

Daemon

rpcinfo

The Mount Daemon Querying the Mount Daemon

File Handles File-Handle Guessing Attack A Digression on

Randomness

Requirements for Using

Pseudo-Random

Number Generators

Random Seeds Authentication and NFS

UID Mapping
Risks of Traditional

Risks of Traditiona NFS

File-Locking

NFSv4

Three Different Attack Vectors NFSv4 fixed many of the problems

- TCP is the primary transport, easing some of the firewall problems
- Locking is done in-band, again to simplify life with firewalls
- There's real authentication, on a per-user basis



Three Different Attack Vectors

Networked Storage

NFS

NFS

NFS Technology

Traditional Flow of

Control

Finding the Mount

Daemon

rpcinfo

The Mount Daemon

Querying the Mount

Daemon

File Handles

File-Handle Guessing

Attack

A Digression on

Randomness

Requirements for

Using

Pseudo-Random

Number Generators

Random Seeds

Authentication and

NFS

UID Mapping

Risks of Traditional

NFS

File-Locking

NFSv4

Three Different Attack Vectors

- Fool authentication (impersonate host)
- Abuse the network medium
- Exploit implementation flaw



Networked Storage

Common Internet

File System
Finding Shared

NFS

CIFS

Resources
Security Model
Authentication
A Digression on
Storing Passwords
Never Store
Plaintext Passwords

Remote Disks

Storage and the Internet

CIFS



Common Internet File System

Networked Storage

NFS

CIFS

Common Internet File System

Finding Shared Resources

Security Model

Authentication A Digression on Storing Passwords Never Store Plaintext Passwords

Remote Disks

- Developed by Microsoft
- Internet version of old NetBIOS protocol
- Primarily for Windows, though there's a popular open source server (Samba)
- Provides access to more than just files: printers, named pipes, and more
- Sometimes called the SMB Server Message Block — protocol, which proves that I should have filed for a trademark years ago...



Finding Shared Resources

Networked Storage

NFS

CIFS

Common Internet File System

Finding Shared Resources

Security Model
Authentication
A Digression on
Storing Passwords
Never Store
Plaintext Passwords

Remote Disks

- On a LAN, servers broadcast their offerings
- There are remote name services to help find remote share offerings
- Partly integrated with basic Windows name service



Security Model

Networked Storage

NFS

CIFS

Common Internet File System Finding Shared Resources

Security Model

Authentication A Digression on Storing Passwords Never Store Plaintext Passwords

Remote Disks

- Two types: share-level and server-level
- Share level: an entire disk is shared, read-only or read-write, to anyone who knows the name and password
- User-level permits fine-grained authentication of individual users and sharing of particular files or directories, rather than entire disk drives



Authentication

Networked Storage

NFS

CIFS

Common Internet File System Finding Shared Resources Security Model

Authentication

A Digression on Storing Passwords Never Store Plaintext Passwords

Remote Disks

- Many forms of authentication possible
- Must adapt to many historical schemes in different verions of Windows
- Often, servers consult separate authentication servers for validation
- In any case, an opaque credential is returned after login; this is passed along with future requests



A Digression on Storing Passwords

Networked Storage

NFS

CIFS

Common Internet
File System
Finding Shared
Resources
Security Model

Authentication

A Digression on Storing Passwords

Never Store Plaintext Passwords

Remote Disks

- Systems generally do not store plaintext passwords; instead, they store H(P), where H is some slow, non-invertible function
- But that requires that the client send the password in the clear to the server — probably acceptable (for modest security threats) on a phone line, but not over the Internet
- Using a challenge/response protocol requires that passwords (or at least the equivalent for purposes of this authentication) be stored in the clear, creating other risks



Never Store Plaintext Passwords

Networked Storage

NFS

CIFS

Common Internet File System Finding Shared Resources Security Model

Authentication A Digression on Storing Passwords

Never Store Plaintext Passwords

Remote Disks

Storage and the Internet

- For challenge/response, store H(P) on the server; let the client calculate H(P) from the entered password and use that as the key for the challenge/response
- Rationale: make it harder to steal the password for use on other systems
- A better variant: Server stores S, H(P, S), where S is a random

salt.

Challenge: N, S

Both sides calculate F(N, H(P, S))

■ Why is that better?



Networked Storage

NFS

CIFS

Remote Disks

iSCSI and FCIP Bandwidth Requirements What Kind of Crypto?

Authentication

IPsec Protection

Commonalities

Storage and the Internet

Remote Disks



iSCSI and FCIP

Networked Storage

NFS

CIFS

Remote Disks

iSCSI and FCIP

Bandwidth Requirements What Kind of Crypto? Authentication

IPsec Protection

Commonalities

- IP transport of existing command sets
- Originally for hardware devices SCSI for small machines; Fibre Channel for mainframes
- Original protocols had no authentication —
 they were implemented over dedicated wires



Bandwidth Requirements

Networked Storage

NFS

CIFS

Remote Disks

iSCSI and FCIP

Bandwidth Requirements

What Kind of Crypto?

Authentication

IPsec Protection

Commonalities

- Very high speed
- Intended target is full line speed over Gigabit Ethernet "with rapid migration to 10 GbE"
- Expected to require implementation of much of TCP and IP in hardware
- Direct data placement copy data directly from wire into proper memory location, with no intermediate copies



What Kind of Crypto?

Networked Storage

NFS

CIFS

Remote Disks

iSCSI and FCIP Bandwidth Requirements

What Kind of Crypto?

Authentication
IPsec Protection
Commonalities

- TLS is processed after TCP, which makes it harder to do in hardware
- Obvious choice is IPsec
- 3DES-CBC is secure enough, and (marginally) fast enough in hardware but it has to be rekeyed too often
- Other choice: AES in counter mode (why not CBC?)



Authentication

Networked Storage

NFS

CIFS

Remote Disks

iSCSI and FCIP Bandwidth Requirements What Kind of Crypto?

Authentication

IPsec Protection
Commonalities

- IKE is used to provide authentication
- Manual keying can't be used, because of the need for rekeying
- iSCSI has its own authentication protocol how do they combine?



IPsec Protection

Networked Storage

NFS

CIFS

Remote Disks

iSCSI and FCIP Bandwidth Requirements What Kind of Crypto?

Authentication

IPsec Protection

Commonalities

- IKE is generally machine-level authentication
- IPsec provides per-packet protection again, at machine granularity
- The iSCSI layer provides user-level authentication
- Crucial role for the OS: keep other users away from the iSCSI socket



Commonalities

Networked Storage

NFS

CIFS

Remote Disks

iSCSI and FCIP Bandwidth Requirements What Kind of Crypto? Authentication IPsec Protection

Commonalities

- Note again: authentication is a weak spot
- We're trusting the OS even more, if the iSCSI remote disk is shared
- iSCSI got the packet protection model correct from the start with, of course, the benefit of about 20 years more experience



Networked Storage

NFS

CIFS

Remote Disks

Storage and the Internet

Off-site Disks and File Systems The Obvious User Population Encryption



Off-site Disks and File Systems

Networked Storage

NFS

CIFS

Remote Disks

Storage and the Internet
Off-site Disks and File Systems

The Obvious
User Population
Encryption

- The same protocols can be used over the Internet
- Are there any new security issues?



The Obvious

Networked Storage

NFS

CIFS

Remote Disks

Storage and the Internet
Off-site Disks and

File Systems

The Obvious

User Population Encryption

- It's over the Internet, not local
- You need strong authentication and strong protection of the server host



User Population

Networked Storage

NFS

CIFS

Remote Disks

Storage and the Internet

Off-site Disks and File Systems

The Obvious

User Population

Encryption

- Who are the users?
- If it's a commercial service, with a heterogeneous user base, good authentication becomes crucial
- There's less of an issue if you're accessing your own normal file server, over an IPsec VPN



Encryption

Networked Storage

NFS

CIFS

Remote Disks

Storage and the Internet

Off-site Disks and File Systems

The Obvious

User Population

Encryption

- This is a good environment for encrypted storage
- Usually, file encryption is a bad idea it provides little extra protection compared with the OS, but raises the risk of losing your data if you lose the key
- File encryption is useful when there's a physical threat
- You don't know who has access to a remote server