## Roadmap for the Next Few Lectures

- Lecture 1 (today): IBM Models 1 and 2


### 6.864 (Fall 2007)

## Machine Translation Part II

- Lecture 2: phrase-based models
- Lecture 3: Syntax in statistical machine translation


## Recap: The Noisy Channel Model

- Goal: translation system from French to English
- Have a model $P(\mathbf{e} \mid \mathbf{f})$ which estimates conditional probability of any English sentence e given the French sentence $f$. Use the training corpus to set the parameters.
- A Noisy Channel Model has two components:
$P(\mathbf{e}) \quad$ the language model
$P(\mathbf{f} \mid \mathbf{e}) \quad$ the translation model
- Giving:

$$
P(\mathbf{e} \mid \mathbf{f})=\frac{P(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f})}{P(\mathbf{f})}=\frac{P(\mathbf{e}) P(\mathbf{f} \mid \mathbf{e})}{\sum_{\mathbf{e}} P(\mathbf{e}) P(\mathbf{f} \mid \mathbf{e})}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{e}} P(\mathbf{e} \mid \mathbf{f})=\operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{e}} P(\mathbf{e}) P(\mathbf{f} \mid \mathbf{e})
$$

## Overview

- IBM Model 1
- IBM Model 2
- EM Training of Models 1 and 2
- Some examples of training Models 1 and 2
- Decoding


## IBM Model 1: Alignments

- How do we model $P(\mathbf{f} \mid \mathbf{e})$ ?
- English sentence e has $l$ words $e_{1} \ldots e_{l}$,

French sentence $\mathbf{f}$ has $m$ words $f_{1} \ldots f_{m}$.

- An alignment a identifies which English word each French word originated from
- Formally, an alignment a is $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots a_{m}\right\}$, where each $a_{j} \in$ $\{0 \ldots l\}$.
- There are $(l+1)^{m}$ possible alignments.


## Alignments in the IBM Models

- We'll define models for $P(\mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{e})$ and $P(\mathbf{f} \mid \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{e})$, giving

$$
P(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{e})=P(\mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{e}) P(\mathbf{f} \mid \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{e})
$$

- Also,

$$
P(\mathbf{f} \mid \mathbf{e})=\sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}} P(\mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{e}) P(\mathbf{f} \mid \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{e})
$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ is the set of all possible alignments

## IBM Model 1: Alignments

- e.g., $l=6, m=7$
$\mathbf{e}=$ And the program has been implemented
$\mathbf{f}=$ Le programme a ete mis en application
- One alignment is

$$
\{2,3,4,5,6,6,6\}
$$

- Another (bad!) alignment is

$$
\{1,1,1,1,1,1,1\}
$$

## A By-Product: Most Likely Alignments

- Once we have a model $P(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{e})=P(\mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{e}) P(\mathbf{f} \mid \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{e})$ we can also calculate

$$
P(\mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{e})=\frac{P(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{e})}{\sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}} P(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{e})}
$$

for any alignment a

- For a given $\mathbf{f}$, e pair, we can also compute the most likely alignment,

$$
\mathbf{a}^{*}=\arg \max _{\mathbf{a}} P(\mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{e})
$$

- Nowadays, the original IBM models are rarely (if ever) used for translation, but they are used for recovering alignments


## An Example Alignment

## French:

le conseil a rendu son avis, et nous devons à présent adopter un nouvel avis sur la base de la première position .

## English:

the council has stated its position, and now, on the basis of the first position, we again have to give our opinion .

## Alignment:

the/le council/conseil has/à stated/rendu its/son position/avis ,/, and/et now/présent ,/NULL on/sur the/le basis/base of/de the/la first/première position/position ,/NULL we/nous again/NULL have/devons to/a give/adopter our/nouvel opinion/avis ./.

## IBM Model 1: Translation Probabilities

- Next step: come up with an estimate for

$$
P(\mathbf{f} \mid \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{e})
$$

- In model 1, this is:

$$
P(\mathbf{f} \mid \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{e})=\prod_{j=1}^{m} P\left(f_{j} \mid e_{a_{j}}\right)
$$

## IBM Model 1: Alignments

- In IBM model 1 all allignments a are equally likely:

$$
P(\mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{e})=C \times \frac{1}{(l+1)^{m}}
$$

where $C=\operatorname{prob}(\operatorname{length}(\mathbf{f})=m)$ is a constant.

- This is a major simplifying assumption, but it gets things started...
- e.g., $l=6, m=7$
$\mathbf{e}=$ And the program has been implemented
$\mathrm{f}=$ Le programme a ete mis en application
- $\mathbf{a}=\{2,3,4,5,6,6,6\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(\mathbf{f} \mid \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{e})= & P(\text { Le } \mid \text { the }) \times \\
& P(\text { programme } \mid \text { program }) \times \\
& P(a \mid \text { has }) \times \\
& P(\text { ete } \mid \text { been }) \times \\
& P(\text { mis } \mid \text { implemented }) \times \\
& P(\text { en } \mid \text { implemented }) \times \\
& P(\text { application } \mid \text { implemented })
\end{aligned}
$$

## IBM Model 1: The Generative Process

## To generate a French string f from an English string e:

- Step 1: Pick the length of $\mathbf{f}$ (all lengths equally probable, probability $C$ )
- Step 2: Pick an alignment a with probability $\frac{1}{(l+1)^{m}}$
- Step 3: Pick the French words with probability

$$
P(\mathbf{f} \mid \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{e})=\prod_{j=1}^{m} P\left(f_{j} \mid e_{a_{j}}\right)
$$

## The final result:

$$
P(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{e})=P(\mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{e}) \times P(\mathbf{f} \mid \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{e})=\frac{C}{(l+1)^{m}} \prod_{j=1}^{m} P\left(f_{j} \mid e_{a_{j}}\right)
$$

## A Hidden Variable Problem

- We have:

$$
P(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{e})=\frac{C}{(l+1)^{m}} \prod_{j=1}^{m} P\left(f_{j} \mid e_{a_{j}}\right)
$$

- And:

$$
P(\mathbf{f} \mid \mathbf{e})=\sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}} \frac{C}{(l+1)^{m}} \prod_{j=1}^{m} P\left(f_{j} \mid e_{a_{j}}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ is the set of all possible alignments.

## A Hidden Variable Problem

- Training data is a set of $\left(\mathbf{f}_{i}, \mathbf{e}_{i}\right)$ pairs, likelihood is

$$
\sum_{i} \log P\left(\mathbf{f}_{i} \mid \mathbf{e}_{i}\right)=\sum_{i} \log \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}} P\left(\mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{e}_{i}\right) P\left(\mathbf{f}_{i} \mid \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{e}_{i}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ is the set of all possible alignments.

- We need to maximize this function w.r.t. the translation parameters $P\left(f_{j} \mid e_{a_{j}}\right)$.
- EM can be used for this problem: initialize translation parameters randomly, and at each iteration choose

$$
\Theta_{t}=\operatorname{argmax}_{\Theta} \sum_{i} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}} P\left(\mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{e}_{i}, \mathbf{f}_{i}, \Theta^{t-1}\right) \log P\left(\mathbf{f}_{i} \mid \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{e}_{i}, \Theta\right)
$$

where $\Theta^{t}$ are the parameter values at the $t^{\prime}$ th iteration.

## An Example

- I have the following training examples

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { the } \operatorname{dog} & \Rightarrow \text { le chien } \\
\text { the cat } & \Rightarrow \text { le chat }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Need to find estimates for:

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
P(l e \mid \text { the }) & P(\text { chien } \mid \text { the }) & P(\text { chat } \mid \text { the }) \\
P(l e \mid \text { dog }) & P(\text { chien } \mid \text { dog }) & P(\text { chat } \mid \text { dog }) \\
P(\text { le } \mid \text { cat }) & P(\text { chien } \mid \text { cat }) & P(\text { chat } \mid \text { cat })
\end{array}
$$

- As a result, each $\left(\mathbf{e}_{i}, \mathbf{f}_{i}\right)$ pair will have a most likely alignment.


## An Example Lexical Entry

| English | French | Probability |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| position | position | 0.756715 |
| position | situation | 0.0547918 |
| position | mesure | 0.0281663 |
| position | vue | 0.0169303 |
| position | point | 0.0124795 |
| position | attitude | 0.0108907 |

... de la situation au niveau des négociations de 1 ' ompi ...
$\ldots$. of the current position in the wipo negotiations ...
nous ne sommes pas en mesure de décider , ...
we are not in a position to decide , ...
. . . le point de vue de la commission face à ce problème complexe .
... the commission 's position on this complex problem .
... cette attitude laxiste et irresponsable .
... this irresponsibly lax position .

## IBM Model 2

- Only difference: we now introduce alignment or distortion parameters
$\mathbf{D}(i \mid j, l, m)=$ Probability that $j$ 'th French word is connected to $i$ 'th English word, given sentence lengths of $\mathbf{e}$ and $\mathbf{f}$ are $l$ and $m$ respectively
- Defi ne

$$
P(\mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{e}, l, m)=\prod_{j=1}^{m} \mathbf{D}\left(a_{j} \mid j, l, m\right)
$$

where $\mathbf{a}=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots a_{m}\right\}$

- Gives

$$
P(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{e}, l, m)=\prod_{j=1}^{m} \mathbf{D}\left(a_{j} \mid j, l, m\right) \mathrm{T}\left(f_{j} \mid e_{a_{j}}\right)
$$

## Overview

- IBM Model 1
- IBM Model 2
- EM Training of Models 1 and 2
- Some examples of training Models 1 and 2
- Decoding
- Note: Model 1 is a special case of Model 2, where $\mathrm{D}(i \mid j, l, m)=\frac{1}{l+1}$ for all $i, j$.


## An Example

$l=6$
$m=7$
$\mathbf{e}=$ And the program has been implemented
$\mathrm{f}=$ Le programme a ete mis en application
$\mathbf{a}=\{2,3,4,5,6,6,6\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(\mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{e}, 6,7)= & \mathrm{D}(2 \mid 1,6,7) \times \\
& \mathrm{D}(3 \mid 2,6,7) \times \\
& \mathrm{D}(4 \mid 3,6,7) \times \\
& \mathrm{D}(5 \mid 4,6,7) \times \\
& \mathrm{D}(6 \mid 5,6,7) \times \\
& \mathrm{D}(6 \mid 6,6,7) \times \\
& \mathrm{D}(6 \mid 7,6,7)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(\mathbf{f} \mid \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{e})= & \mathrm{T}(\text { Le } \mid \text { the }) \times \\
& \mathrm{T}(\text { programme } \mid \text { program }) \times \\
& \mathrm{T}(a \mid \text { has }) \times \\
& \mathrm{T}(\text { ete } \mid \text { been }) \times \\
& \mathrm{T}(\text { mis } \mid \text { implemented }) \times \\
& \mathrm{T}(\text { en } \mid \text { implemented }) \times \\
& \mathrm{T}(\text { application } \mid \text { implemented })
\end{aligned}
$$

## IBM Model 2: The Generative Process

## To generate a French string f from an English string e:

- Step 1: Pick the length of $\mathbf{f}$ (all lengths equally probable, probability $C$ )
- Step 2: Pick an alignment $\mathbf{a}=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2} \ldots a_{m}\right\}$ with probability

$$
\prod_{j=1}^{m} \mathrm{D}\left(a_{j} \mid j, l, m\right)
$$

- Step 3: Pick the French words with probability

$$
P(\mathbf{f} \mid \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{e})=\prod_{j=1}^{m} \mathbf{T}\left(f_{j} \mid e_{a_{j}}\right)
$$

The final result:

$$
P(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{e})=P(\mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{e}) P(\mathbf{f} \mid \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{e})=C \prod_{j=1}^{m} \mathrm{D}\left(a_{j} \mid j, l, m\right) \mathrm{T}\left(f_{j} \mid e_{a_{j}}\right)
$$
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## Overview

- IBM Model 1
- IBM Model 2
- EM Training of Models 1 and 2
- Some examples of training Models 1 and 2
- Decoding


## A Hidden Variable Problem

- We have:

$$
P(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{e})=C \prod_{j=1}^{m} \mathrm{D}\left(a_{j} \mid j, l, m\right) \mathrm{T}\left(f_{j} \mid e_{a_{j}}\right)
$$

- And:

$$
P(\mathbf{f} \mid \mathbf{e})=\sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}} C \prod_{j=1}^{m} \mathbf{D}\left(a_{j} \mid j, l, m\right) \mathrm{T}\left(f_{j} \mid e_{a_{j}}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ is the set of all possible alignments.

## Model 2 as a Product of Multinomials

## A Hidden Variable Problem

- Training data is a set of $\left(\mathbf{f}_{k}, \mathbf{e}_{k}\right)$ pairs, likelihood is

$$
\sum_{k} \log P\left(\mathbf{f}_{k} \mid \mathbf{e}_{k}\right)=\sum_{k} \log \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}} P\left(\mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{e}_{k}\right) P\left(\mathbf{f}_{k} \mid \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{e}_{k}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ is the set of all possible alignments.

- We need to maximize this function w.r.t. the translation parameters, and the alignment probabilities
- EM can be used for this problem: initialize parameters randomly, and at each iteration choose

$$
\Theta_{t}=\operatorname{argmax}_{\Theta} \sum_{k} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}} P\left(\mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{e}_{k}, \mathbf{f}_{k}, \Theta^{t-1}\right) \log P\left(\mathbf{f}_{k}, \mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{e}_{k}, \Theta\right)
$$

where $\Theta^{t}$ are the parameter values at the $t^{\prime}$ th iteration.

- The model can be written in the form

$$
P(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{e})=\prod_{r} \Theta_{r}^{\operatorname{Count}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{e}, r)}
$$

where the parameters $\Theta_{r}$ correspond to the $\mathrm{T}(f \mid e)$ and $\mathrm{D}(i \mid j, l, m)$ parameters

- To apply EM, we need to calculate expected counts

$$
\overline{\operatorname{Count}}(r)=\sum_{k} \sum_{\mathbf{a}} P\left(\mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{k}}, \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{k}}, \bar{\Theta}\right) \operatorname{Count}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{k}}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{k}}, r\right)
$$ ,

## A Crucial Step in the EM Algorithm

- Say we have the following $(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f})$ pair:
$\mathbf{e}=$ And the program has been implemented
$\mathrm{f}=$ Le programme a ete mis en application
- Given that $\mathbf{f}$ was generated according to Model 2 , what is the probability that $a_{1}=2$ ? Formally:

$$
\operatorname{Prob}\left(a_{1}=2 \mid \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{e}\right)=\sum_{\mathbf{a}: a_{1}=2} P(\mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{e}, \bar{\Theta})
$$

## Calculating Expected Translation Counts

- One example:

$$
\overline{\operatorname{Count}}(\mathrm{T}(l e \mid \text { the }))=\sum_{(i, j, k) \in \mathcal{S}} P\left(a_{j}=i \mid \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{k}}, \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{k}}, \bar{\Theta}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{S}$ is the set of all $(i, j, k)$ triples such that $e_{k, i}=$ the and $f_{k, j}=l e$

## Models 1 and 2 Have a Simple Structure

- We have $\mathbf{f}=\left\{f_{1} \ldots f_{m}\right\}, \mathbf{a}=\left\{a_{1} \ldots a_{m}\right\}$, and

$$
P(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{e}, l, m)=\prod_{j=1}^{m} P\left(a_{j}, f_{j} \mid \mathbf{e}, l, m\right)
$$

where

$$
P\left(a_{j}, f_{j} \mid \mathbf{e}, l, m\right)=\mathrm{D}\left(a_{j} \mid j, l, m\right) \mathrm{T}\left(f_{j} \mid e_{a_{j}}\right)
$$

- We can think of the $m\left(f_{j}, a_{j}\right)$ pairs as being generated independently


## Calculating Expected Distortion Counts

- One example:
$\overline{\operatorname{Count}}(\mathbf{D}(i=5 \mid j=6, l=10, m=11))=\sum_{k \in \mathcal{S}} P\left(a_{6}=5 \mid \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{k}}, \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{k}}, \bar{\Theta}\right)$
where $\mathcal{S}$ is the set of all values of $k$ such that length $\left(\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{k}}\right)=10$ and length $\left(\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{k}}\right)=11$


## The Answer

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Prob}\left(a_{1}=2 \mid \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{e}\right) & =\sum_{\mathbf{a}: a_{1}=2} P(\mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{e}, l, m) \\
& =\frac{\mathbf{D}\left(a_{1}=2 \mid j=1, l=6, m=7\right) \mathrm{T}(l e \mid t h e)}{\sum_{i=0}^{l} \mathrm{D}\left(a_{1}=i \mid j=1, l=6, m=7\right) \mathrm{T}\left(l e \mid e_{i}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Follows directly because the $\left(a_{j}, f_{j}\right)$ pairs are independent:

$$
\begin{align*}
P\left(a_{1}=2 \mid \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{e}, l, m\right) & =\frac{P\left(a_{1}=2, f_{1}=l e \mid f_{2} \ldots f_{m}, \mathbf{e}, l, m\right)}{P\left(f_{1}=l e \mid f_{2} \ldots f_{m}, \mathbf{e}, l, m\right)}  \tag{1}\\
& =\frac{P\left(a_{1}=2, f_{1}=l e \mid \mathbf{e}, l, m\right)}{P\left(f_{1}=l e \mid \mathbf{e}, l, m\right)}  \tag{2}\\
& =\frac{P\left(a_{1}=2, f_{1}=l e \mid \mathbf{e}, l, m\right)}{\sum_{i} P\left(a_{1}=i, f_{1}=l e \mid \mathbf{e}, l, m\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

where (2) follows from (1) because $P(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{e}, l, m)=\prod_{j=1}^{m} P\left(a_{j}, f_{j} \mid \mathbf{e}, l, m\right)$

## A General Result

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Prob}\left(a_{j}=i \mid \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{e}\right) & =\sum_{\mathbf{a}: a_{j}=i} P(\mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{e}, l, m) \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{D}\left(a_{j}=i \mid j, l, m\right) \mathrm{T}\left(f_{j} \mid e_{i}\right)}{\sum_{i^{\prime}=0}^{l} \mathrm{D}\left(a_{j}=i^{\prime} \mid j, l, m\right) \mathrm{T}\left(f_{j} \mid e_{i^{\prime}}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Alignment Probabilities have a Simple Solution!

- e.g., Say we have $l=6, m=7$,
$\mathbf{e}=$ And the program has been implemented
$\mathbf{f}=$ Le programme a ete mis en application
- Probability of "mis" being connected to "the":

$$
P\left(a_{5}=2 \mid \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{e}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{D}\left(a_{5}=2 \mid j=5, l=6, m=7\right) \mathrm{T}(m i s \mid \text { the })}{Z}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z= & \quad \mathrm{D}\left(a_{5}=0 \mid j=5, l=6, m=7\right) \mathrm{T}(m i s \mid N U L L) \\
& +\mathrm{D}\left(a_{5}=1 \mid j=5, l=6, m=7\right) \mathrm{T}(m i s \mid \text { And }) \\
& +\mathrm{D}\left(a_{5}=2 \mid j=5, l=6, m=7\right) \mathrm{T}(\text { mis } \mid \text { the }) \\
& +\mathrm{D}\left(a_{5}=3 \mid j=5, l=6, m=7\right) \mathrm{T}(\text { mis } \mid \text { program }) \\
& +\quad \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

## The EM Algorithm for Model 2

- Define
$\mathbf{e}[k]$ for $k=1 \ldots n$ is the $k$ 'th English sentence
$\mathbf{f}[k]$ for $k=1 \ldots n$ is the $k$ 'th French sentence
$l[k]$ is the length of $\mathbf{e}[k]$
$m[k]$ is the length of $\mathbf{f}[k]$
$\mathbf{e}[k, i] \quad$ is the $i$ 'th word in $\mathbf{e}[k]$
$\mathbf{f}[k, j]$ is the $j$ 'th word in $\mathbf{f}[k]$
- Current parameters $\Theta^{t-1}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}(f \mid e) \\
& \mathrm{D}(i \mid j, l, m) \text { for all } f \in \mathcal{F}, e \in \mathcal{E} \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

- We'll see how the EM algorithm re-estimates the T and D parameters


## Step 1: Calculate the Alignment Probabilities

- Calculate an array of alignment probabilities (for $(k=1 \ldots n),(j=1 \ldots m[k]),(i=0 \ldots l[k]))$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
a[i, j, k] & =P\left(a_{j}=i \mid \mathbf{e}[k], \mathbf{f}[k], \Theta^{t-1}\right) \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{D}\left(a_{j}=i \mid j, l, m\right) \mathrm{T}\left(f_{j} \mid e_{i}\right)}{\sum_{i^{\prime}=0}^{l} \mathrm{D}\left(a_{j}=i^{\prime} \mid j, l, m\right) \mathrm{T}\left(f_{j} \mid e_{i^{\prime}}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $e_{i}=\mathbf{e}[k, i], f_{j}=\mathbf{f}[k, j]$, and $l=l[k], m=m[k]$
i.e., the probability of $\mathbf{f}[k, j]$ being aligned to $\mathbf{e}[k, i]$.

## Step 2: Calculating the Expected Counts

- Calculate the translation counts

$$
\operatorname{tcount}(e, f)=\sum_{\substack{i, j, k: \\ \mathrm{e}[k, i]=e, \mathbf{f}[k, j]=f}} a[i, j, k]
$$

- tcount $(e, f)$ is expected number of times that $e$ is aligned with $f$ in the corpus


## Step 3: Re-estimating the Parameters

- New translation probabilities are then defined as

$$
\mathrm{T}(f \mid e)=\frac{\operatorname{tcount}(e, f)}{\sum_{f} \operatorname{tcount}(e, f)}
$$

- New alignment probabilities are defined as

$$
\mathrm{D}(i \mid j, l, m)=\frac{\operatorname{acount}(i, j, l, m)}{\sum_{i} \operatorname{acount}(i, j, l, m)}
$$

This defines the mapping from $\Theta^{t-1}$ to $\Theta^{t}$

## A Summary of the EM Procedure

- Start with parameters $\Theta^{t-1}$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}(f \mid e) \text { for all } f \in \mathcal{F}, e \in \mathcal{E} \\
& \mathrm{D}(i \mid j, l, m)
\end{aligned} \quad
$$

- Calculate alignment probabilities under current parameters

$$
a[i, j, k]=\frac{\mathrm{D}\left(a_{j}=i \mid j, l, m\right) \mathrm{T}\left(f_{j} \mid e_{i}\right)}{\sum_{i^{\prime}=0}^{l} \mathrm{D}\left(a_{j}=i^{\prime} \mid j, l, m\right) \mathrm{T}\left(f_{j} \mid e_{i^{\prime}}\right)}
$$

- Calculate expected counts $\operatorname{tcount}(e, f)$, acount $(i, j, l, m)$ from the alignment probabilities
- Re-estimate $\mathbf{T}(f \mid e)$ and $\mathrm{D}(i \mid j, l, m)$ from the expected counts


## The Special Case of Model 1

- Start with parameters $\Theta^{t-1}$ as

$$
\mathrm{T}(f \mid e) \quad \text { for all } f \in \mathcal{F}, e \in \mathcal{E}
$$

(no alignment parameters)

- Calculate alignment probabilities under current parameters

$$
a[i, j, k]=\frac{\mathrm{T}\left(f_{j} \mid e_{i}\right)}{\sum_{i^{\prime}=0}^{l} \mathrm{~T}\left(f_{j} \mid e_{i^{\prime}}\right)}
$$

(because $\mathbf{D}\left(a_{j}=i \mid j, l, m\right)=1 /(l+1)^{m}$ for all $\left.i, j, l, m\right)$.

- Calculate expected counts $\operatorname{tcount}(e, f)$
- Re-estimate $\mathbf{T}(f \mid e)$ from the expected counts


## Overview

- IBM Model 1
- IBM Model 2
- EM Training of Models 1 and 2
- Some examples of training Models 1 and 2
- Decoding


## An Example of Training Models 1 and 2

## Example will use following translations:

```
e[1] = the dog
f[1] = le chien
e[2] = the cat
f[2] = le chat
e[3] = the bus
f[3] = l' autobus
```

NB: I won't use a NULL word $e_{0}$

Alignment probabilities:

|  | i | j | k |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | $\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}) \quad$.


| $e$ | $f$ | old | new |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| the | le | 0.23 | 0.34 |
| the | chien | 0.2 | 0.19 |
| the | chat | 0.11 | 0.12 |
| the | l' | 0.25 | 0.2 |
| the | autobus | 0.21 | 0.15 |
| dog | le | 0.2 | 0.51 |
| dog | chien | 0.16 | 0.49 |
| dog | chat | 0.33 | 0 |
| dog | l' | 0.12 | 0 |
| dog | autobus | 0.18 | 0 |
| cat | le | 0.26 | 0.45 |
| cat | chien | 0.28 | 0 |
| cat | chat | 0.19 | 0.55 |
| cat | l' | 0.24 | 0 |
| cat | autobus | 0.03 | 0 |
| bus | le | 0.22 | 0 |
| bus | chien | 0.05 | 0 |
| bus | chat | 0.26 | 0 |
| bus | l' | 0.19 | 0.43 |
| bus | autobus | 0.27 | 0.57 |
|  | 47 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| $e$ | $f$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| the | le | 0.23 | 0.34 | 0.46 | 0.56 | 0.64 | 0.71 |
| the | chien | 0.2 | 0.19 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.06 |
| the | chat | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.1 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.04 |
| the | l' | 0.25 | 0.2 | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.11 |
| the | autobus | 0.21 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.1 | 0.08 | 0.07 |
| dog | le | 0.2 | 0.51 | 0.46 | 0.39 | 0.33 | 0.28 |
| dog | chien | 0.16 | 0.49 | 0.54 | 0.61 | 0.67 | 0.72 |
| dog | chat | 0.33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| dog | l' | 0.12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| dog | autobus | 0.18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| cat | le | 0.26 | 0.45 | 0.41 | 0.36 | 0.3 | 0.26 |
| cat | chien | 0.28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| cat | chat | 0.19 | 0.55 | 0.59 | 0.64 | 0.7 | 0.74 |
| cat | l' | 0.24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| cat | autobus | 0.03 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| bus | le | 0.22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| bus | chien | 0.05 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| bus | chat | 0.26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| bus | l' | 0.19 | 0.43 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.48 |
| bus | autobus | 0.27 | 0.57 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.52 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 48 |  |  |


| $e$ | $f$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| the | le | 0.94 |
| the | chien | 0 |
| the | chat | 0 |
| the | l' | 0.03 |
| the | autobus | 0.02 |
| dog | le | 0.06 |
| dog | chien | 0.94 |
| dog | chat | 0 |
| dog | l' | 0 |
| dog | autobus | 0 |
| cat | le | 0.06 |
| cat | chien | 0 |
| cat | chat | 0.94 |
| cat | l' | 0 |
| cat | autobus | 0 |
| bus | le | 0 |
| bus | chien | 0 |
| bus | chat | 0 |
| bus | l' | 0.49 |
| bus | autobus | 0.51 |
|  |  | 49 |
|  |  |  |

Model 2 has several local maxima - bad one:

| $e$ | $f$ | $T(f \mid e)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| the | le | 0 |
| the | chien | 0.4 |
| the | chat | 0.3 |
| the | $l$ | 0 |
| the | autobus | 0.3 |
| dog | le | 0.5 |
| dog | chien | 0.5 |
| dog | chat | 0 |
| dog | 1 | 0 |
| dog | autobus | 0 |
| cat | le | 0.5 |
| cat | chien | 0 |
| cat | chat | 0.5 |
| cat | $l$ | 0 |
| cat | autobus | 0 |
| bus | le | 0 |
| bus | chien | 0 |
| bus | chat | 0 |
| bus | 1 | 0.5 |
| bus | autobus | 0.5 |
|  |  |  |


| $e$ | $f$ | $T(f \mid e)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| the | le | 0.67 |
| the | chien | 0 |
| the | chat | 0 |
| the | $l$ | 0.33 |
| the | autobus | 0 |
| dog | le | 0 |
| dog | chien | 1 |
| dog | chat | 0 |
| dog | l' | 0 |
| dog | autobus | 0 |
| cat | le | 0 |
| cat | chien | 0 |
| cat | chat | 1 |
| cat | l' | 0 |
| cat | autobus | 0 |
| bus | le | 0 |
| bus | chien | 0 |
| bus | chat | 0 |
| bus | $l$ | 0 |
| bus | autobus | 1 |

- Alignment parameters for good solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}(i=1 \mid j=1, l=2, m=2)=1 \\
& \mathrm{~T}(i=2 \mid j=1, l=2, m=2)=0 \\
& \mathrm{~T}(i=1 \mid j=2, l=2, m=2)=0 \\
& \mathrm{~T}(i=2 \mid j=2, l=2, m=2)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

$\log$ probability $=-1.91$

- Alignment parameters for first bad solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{T}(i=1 \mid j=1, l=2, m=2) & =0 \\
\mathrm{~T}(i=2 \mid j=1, l=2, m=2) & =1 \\
\mathrm{~T}(i=1 \mid j=2, l=2, m=2) & =0 \\
\mathrm{~T}(i=2 \mid j=2, l=2, m=2) & =1
\end{aligned}
$$

$\log$ probability $=-4.16$

## Improving the Convergence Properties of Model 2

- Out of 100 random starts, only 60 converged to the best local maxima
- Model 1 converges to the same, global maximum every time (see the Brown et. al paper)
- Method in IBM paper: run Model 1 to estimate T parameters, then use these as the initial parameters for Model 2
- In 100 tests using this method, Model 2 converged to the correct point every time.


## Overview

- IBM Model 1
- IBM Model 2
- EM Training of Models 1 and 2
- Some examples of training Models 1 and 2
- Decoding


## Decoding

- Problem: for a given French sentence f, find

$$
\operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{e}} P(\mathbf{e}) P(\mathbf{f} \mid \mathbf{e})
$$

or the "Viterbi approaximation"

$$
\operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{a}} P(\mathbf{e}) P(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{e})
$$

## First Stage of the Greedy Method

- For each French word $f_{j}$, pick the English word $e$ which maximizes

$$
\mathrm{T}\left(e \mid f_{j}\right)
$$

(an inverse translation table $\mathrm{T}(e \mid f)$ is required for this step)

- This gives us an initial alignment, e.g.,

| Bien intendu , il parle de une belle | victoire |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Well heard , it talking NULL a | beautiful | victory |

(Correct translation: quite naturally, he talks about a great victory)

## Decoding

- Decoding is NP-complete (see (Knight, 1999))
- IBM papers describe a stack-decoding or $A^{*}$ search method
- A recent paper on decoding:

Fast Decoding and Optimal Decoding for Machine Translation. Germann, Jahr, Knight, Marcu, Yamada. In ACL 2001.

- Introduces a greedy search method
- Compares the two methods to exact (integer-programming) solution


## Next Stage: Greedy Search

- First stage gives us an initial $\left(\mathbf{e}^{0}, \mathbf{a}^{0}\right)$ pair
- Basic idea: define a set of local transformations that map an $(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{a})$ pair to a new $\left(\mathbf{e}^{\prime}, \mathbf{a}^{\prime}\right)$ pair
- Say $\Pi(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{a})$ is the set of all $\left(\mathbf{e}^{\prime}, \mathbf{a}^{\prime}\right)$ reachable from $(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{a})$ by some transformation, then at each iteration take

$$
\left(\mathbf{e}^{t}, \mathbf{a}^{t}\right)=\operatorname{argmax}_{(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{a}) \in \Pi\left(\mathbf{e}^{t-1}, \mathbf{a}^{t-1}\right)} P(\mathbf{e}) P(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{e})
$$

i.e., take the highest probability output from results of all transformations

- Basic idea: iterate this process until convergence


## The Space of Transforms

- CHANGE $(j, e)$ :

Changes translation of $f_{j}$ from $e_{a_{j}}$ into $e$

- Two possible cases (take $e_{\text {old }}=e_{a_{j}}$ ):
- $e_{\text {old }}$ is aligned to more than 1 word, or $e_{\text {old }}=N U L L$ Place $e$ at position in string that maximizes the alignment probability
- $e_{\text {old }}$ is aligned to exactly one word

In this case, simply replace $e_{\text {old }}$ with $e$

- Typically consider only $(e, f)$ pairs such that $e$ is in top 10 ranked translations for $f$ under $\mathrm{T}(e \mid f)$ (an inverse table of probabilities $\mathrm{T}(e \mid f)$ is required - this is described in Germann 2003)


## The Space of Transforms

- CHANGE2( $j 1, e 1, j 2, e 2)$ :

Changes translation of $f_{j 1}$ from $e_{a_{j 1}}$ into e1,
and changes translation of $f_{j 2}$ from $e_{a_{j 2}}$ into $e 2$

- Just like performing CHANGE $(j 1, e 1)$ and $\operatorname{CHANGE}(j 2, e 2)$ in sequence


## The Space of Transforms

- TranslateAndInsert $(j, e 1, e 2)$ :

Implements CHANGE $(j, e 1)$,
(i.e. Changes translation of $f_{j}$ from $e_{a_{j}}$ into $e 1$ )
and inserts $e_{2}$ at most likely point in the string

- Typically, $e_{2}$ is chosen from the English words which have high probability of being aligned to 0 French words


## The Space of Transforms

- RemoveFertilityZero $(i)$ :

Removes $e_{i}$, providing that $e_{i}$ is aligned to nothing in the alignment

## The Space of Transforms

- SwapSegments $(i 1, i 2, j 1, j 2)$ :

Swaps words $e_{i 1} \ldots e_{i 2}$ with words $e_{j 1}$ and $e_{j 2}$

- Note: the two segments cannot overlap


## An Example from Germann et. al 2001

Bien intendu , il parle de une belle victoire
Well heard , it talking NULL a beautiful victory
$\Downarrow$

Bien intendu , il parle de une belle victoire
Well heard it talks NULL great victory

CHANGE2(5,talks, 8, great)

## The Space of Transforms

- JoinWords $(i 1, i 2)$ :

Deletes English word at position $i 1$, and links all French words that were linked to $e_{i 1}$ to $e_{i 2}$

## An Example from Germann et. al 2001

Bien intendu, il parle de une belle victoire
Well heard , it talks NULL a great victory
$\Downarrow$

Bien intendu , il parle de une belle victoire
Well understood , it talks about a great victory

## An Example from Germann et. al 2001

Bien intendu , il parle de une belle victoire

Well understood , it talks about a great victory $\Downarrow$

Bien intendu , il parle de une belle victoire

Well understood , he talks about a great victory

CHANGE $(4, h e)$

## An Exact Method Based on Integer Programming

Method from Germann et. al 2001:

- Integer programming problems

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3.2 x_{1}+4.7 x_{2}-2.1 x_{3} \quad \text { Minimize objective function } \\
& x_{1}-2.6 x_{3}>5 \\
& 7.3 x_{2}>7
\end{aligned} \quad \text { Subject to linear constraints }
$$

- Generalization of travelling salesman problem: Each town has a number of hotels; some hotels can be in multiple towns. Find the lowest cost tour of hotels such that each town is visited exactly once.
- In the MT problem:
- Each city is a French word (all cities visited $\Rightarrow$ all French words must be accounted for)
- Each hotel is an English word matched with one or more French words
- The "cost" of moving from hotel $i$ to hotel $j$ is a sum of a number of terms. E.g., the cost of choosing "not" after "what", and aligning it with "ne" and "pas" is

```
log(bigram(not | what) +
log(T(ne|not) + log(T(pas|not))
```


## An Exact Method Based on Integer Programming

- Say distance between hotels $i$ and $j$ is $d_{i j}$;

Introduce $x_{i j}$ variables where $x_{i j}=1$ if path from hotel $i$ to hotel $j$ is taken, zero otherwise

- Objective function: maximize

$$
\sum_{i, j} x_{i j} d_{i j}
$$

- All cities must be visited once $\Rightarrow$ constraints

$$
\forall \mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{cities} \sum_{\text {i located in } \mathrm{c}} \sum_{j} x_{i j}=1
$$

- Every hotel must have equal number of incoming and outgoing edges $\Rightarrow$

$$
\forall i \sum_{j} x_{i j}=\sum_{j} x_{j i}
$$

- Another constraint is added to ensure that the tour is fully connected

