Robot Path Planning

Things to Consider:

- Spatial reasoning/understanding: robots can have many dimensions in space, obstacles can be complicated
- Global Planning: Do we know the environment apriori ?
- Online Local Planning: is environment dynamic? Unknown or moving obstacles? Can we compute path "on-the fly"?
- Besides collision-free, should a path be optimal in time, energy or safety?
- Computing exact "safe" paths is provably computationally expensive in 3D – "piano movers" problem
- Kinematic, dynamic, and temporal reasoning may also be required

Robot Path Planning

Overview:

- 1. Visibility Graphs
- 2. Voronoi Graphs
- 3. Potential Fields
- 4. Sampling-Based Planners
 - PRM: Probabilistic Roadmap Methods
 - RRTs: Rapidly-exploring Random Trees

Visibility Graph

How does a Mobile Robot get from A to B?

- Assume robot is a point in 2-D planar space
- Assume obstacles are 2-D polygons
- Create a Visibility Graph:
 - Nodes are start point, goal point, vertices of obstacles
 - Connect all nodes which are "visible" straight line un-obstructed path between any 2 nodes
 - Includes all edges of polygonal obstacles
- Use A* to search for path from start to goal

Visibility Graph - VGRAPH



- Start, goal, vertices of obstacles are graph nodes
- Edges are "visible" connections between nodes, including obstacle edges

Visibility Graph - VGRAPH



• A* search for shortest path via visible vertices

VGRAPH: Grown Obstacles

- VGRAPH algorithm assumes point robot
- What if robot has mass, size?
- Solution: expand each obstacle by size of the robot create Grown Obstacle Set



- This effectively "shrinks" the robot back to a point
- Graph search of the VGRAPH will now find shortest path if one exists using grown obstacle set

CS4733 Class Notes

1 2-D Robot Motion Planning Algorithm Using Grown Obstacles

- Reference: An Algorithm for Planning Collision Free Paths Among Poyhedral Obstacles by T. Lozano-Perez and M. Wesley.
- This method of 2-D motion planning assumes a set of 2-D convex polygonal obstacles and a 2-D convex polygonal mobile robot.
- The general idea is grow the obstacles by the size of the mobile robot, thereby reducing the analysis of the robot's motion from a moving area to a single moving point. The point will always be a safe disatnce away form each obstacle due the growing step of each obstacle. Once we shrink the robot to a point, we can then find a safe path for the robot using a graph search technique.

2 Algorithm

- Method I: Grow each obstacle in the scene by the size of the mobile robot. This is done by finding a set of vertices that determine the grown obstacle (see figure 1). First, we reflect the robot about its X and Y axes. Placing this reflected object at each obstacle vertex, we can map the robot reference points when added to these vertices. This constitutes a grown set of vertices.
- Given the grown set of vertices, we can find its convex hull and form a grown polygonal obstacle. The obstacle is guaranteed to be the convex hull.
- We can now create a visibility graph (see figure 2). A visibility graph is an undirected graph G = (V, E) where the V is the set of vertices of the grown obstacles plus the start and goal points, and E is a set of edges consisting of all polygonal obstacle boundary edges, or an edge between any 2 vertices in V that lies entirely in free space except for its endpoints. Intuitively, if you place yourself at a vertex, you create an edge to any other vertex you can see (i.e. is visible). A simple algorithm to compute G is the following. Assume all N vertices of the grown obstacle edges. Now, check each edge to see if it intersects (excepting its endpoints) any of the grown obstacle edges in the graph If so, reject this edge. The remaining edges (including the grown obstacle edges) are the edges of the visibility graph. This algorithm is brute force and slow ($O(N^3)$) but simple to compute. Faster algorithms are known.
- The shortest path in distance can be found by searching the Graph G using a shortest path search (Dijkstra's Algorithm) or other heuristic search method.
- Method II: Every grown obstacle has edges from the original obstacle and edges from the robot. These edges occur in order of the obstacle edge's outward facing normals and the inward facing normals of the robot. By sorting these normals, you can construct the boundary of the grown obstacle(see figures 4.14. and 4.15 in this handout from *Planning Algorithms*, S. Lavalle, Cambridge U. Press, 2006. http://planning.cs.uiuc.edu/)

VGRAPH: Growing Obstacles



Compute convex hull of vertices

Convex hull is grown obstacle

VGRAPH: Grown Obstacles



Point Robot Path

Path after growing obstacles with square robot



Figure 1: Reflection method for computing grown obstacles (from P. McKerrow, Introduction to Robotics).



Figure 2: Visibility graph with edges.



Figure 4.14: (a) Slide the robot around the obstacle while keeping them both in contact. (b) The edges traced out by the origin of \mathcal{A} form \mathcal{C}_{obs} .



Figure 4.15: (a) Take the inward edge normals of \mathcal{A} and the outward edge normals of \mathcal{O} . (b) Sort the edge normals around \mathbb{S}^1 . This gives the order of edges in \mathcal{C}_{obs} .

VGRAPH Extensions

- Rotation: Mobile Robot can rotate
- Solution:
 - Grow obstacles by size that includes all rotations
 - Over-conservative. Some paths will be missed
 - Create multiple VGRAPHS for different rotations
 - Find regions in graphs where rotation is safe, then move from one VGRAPH mapping to another
- Non-convex obstacles/robots: any concave polygon can be modeled as set of convex polygons

VGRAPH: Rotations



Left: Rectangular robot that can rotate Right: Polygon that approximates all rotations Polygon is over-conservative, will miss legal paths





Path for grown obstacles with fixed robot orientation

Path for grown obstacles with robot rotation

Fig. 5.

Fig. 6.

Growing Non-Convex robots



Figure 3: Concave objects. Decompose concave robot A into convex regions, Compute grown space of each convex region with obstacle B, union the resulting grown spaces (from R. Schilling, *Fundamentals of Robotics*).

VGRAPH Summary

- Guaranteed to give shortest path in 2D
- Path is dangerously close to obstacles no room for error
- Does not scale well to 3D. Shortest path in 3D is not via vertices:
- Growing obstacles is difficult in 3D



4 Finding the Convex Hull of a 2-D Set of Points

- Reference: Computational Geometry in C by J. O'Rourke
- Given a set of points S in a plane, we can compute the convex hull of the point set. The convex hull is an enclosing polygon in which every point in S is in the interior or on the boundary of the polygon.
- An intuitve definition is to pound nails at every point in the set S and then stretch a rubber band around the outside of these nails the resulting image of the rubber band forms a polygonal shape called the Convex Hull. In 3-D, we can think of "wrapping" the point set with plastic shrink wrap to form a convex polyhedron.
- A test for convexity: Given a line segment between any pair of points inside the Convex Hull, it will never contain any points exterior to the Convex Hull.
- Another definition is that the convex hull of a point set S is the intersection of all half-spaces that contain S. In 2-D, half spaces are half-planes, or planes on one side of a separating line.

5 Computing a 2-D Convex Hull: Grahams's Algorithm

There are many algorithms for computing a 2-D convex hull. The algorithm we will use is Graham's Algorithm which is an $O(N \log N)$ algorithm (see figure 4).

- 1. Given N points, find the righmost, lowest point, label it P_0 .
- 2. Sort all other points angularly about P_0 . Break ties in favor of closeness to P_0 . Label the sorted points $P_1 \cdots P_{N-1}$.
- 3. Push the points labeled P_{N-1} and P_0 onto a stack. These points are guaranteed to be on the Convex Hull (why?).
- 4. Set i = 1
- 5. While i < N do

If P_i is strictly left of the line formed by top 2 stack entries (P_{top}, P_{top-1}) , then Push P_i onto the stack and increment i; else Pop the stack (remove P_{top}).

6. Stack contains Convex Hull vertices.

6 Finding Shortest Paths: Dijkstra's Algorithm

- 1. We want to compute the shorterst path distance from a source node S to all other nodes. We associate lengths or costs on edges and find the shortest path.
- 2. We can't use edges with a negative cost. Otherwise, we can take take endless loops to reduce the cost.
- 3. Finding a path from vertex S to vertex T has the same cost as finding a path from vertex S to all other vertices in the graph (within a constant factor).
- 4. If all edge lengths are equal, then the Shortest Path algorithm is equivalent to the breadth-first search algorithm. Breadth first search will exapnd the nodes of a graph in the minimum cost order from a specified starting vertex (assuming equal edge weights everywhere in the graph).
- 5. **Dijkstra's Algorithm**: This is a greedy algorithm to find the minimum distance from a node to all other nodes. At each iteration of the algorithm, we choose the minimum distance vertex from all unvisited vertices in the graph,
 - There are two kinds of nodes: settled or closed nodes are nodes whose minimum distance from the source node S is known. Unsettled or open nodes are nodes where we don't know the minimum distance from S.
 - At each iteration we choose the unsetteld node V of minimum distance from source S. This settles (closes) the node since we know its distance from S. All we have to do now is to update the distance to any unsettled node reachable by an arc from V. At each iteration we close off another node, and eventually we have all the minimum distances from source node S.



Below is shown the stack (point indices only) and the value of i at the top of the while loop. The stack is initialized to (0,18), where the top is shown leftmost (the opposite of our earlier convention). Point p_1 is added to form (1,0,18), but then p_2 causes p_1 to be deleted, and so on. Note that p_{18} causes the deletion of p_{17} when i = 18, as it should. For this example, the total number of iterations is $29 < 2 \cdot n = 2 \cdot 19 = 38$.

i=	1:	0,	18								
i= 1	2:	1,	0,	18							
i= 3	2:	0,	18								
i= 3	3:	2,	0,	18							
i= -	4:	3,	2,	0,	18						
i= !	5:	4,	3,	2,	0,	18					
i= !	5:	3,	2,	0,	18						
i= (6:	5,	з,	2,	0,	18					
i= (6:	з,	2,	0,	18						
i= '	7:	6,	3,	2,	Ο,	18					
i= '	7:	з,	2,	0,	18						
i=	8:	7,	3,	2,	0,	18					
i=	8:	з,	2,	0,	18						
i=	9:	8,	3,	2,	0,	18					
i=1	0:	9,	8,	3,	2,	Ο,	18				
i=10:	8,	3,	2,	ο,	18						
i=11:	10,	8,	3,	2,	0,	18	3				
i=12:	11,	10	, 8	, 3	, 2	, (), 18	3			
i=13:	12,	11	, 1	0,	8,	3,	2, (), :	18		
i=13:	11,	10	, 8	, 3	, 2	, (), 18	3			
i=13:	10,	8,	з,	2,	0,	18	3				
i=14:	13,	10	, 8	, 3	, 2	, 0	, 18	3			
i=14:	10,	8,	з,	2,	0,	18	3				
i=15:	14,	10	, 8	, 3	, 2	, 0	, 18	3			
i=16:	15,	14	, 1	0,	8,	3,	2, 0), :	18		
i=16:	14,	10	, 8	, 3	, 2	, 0	, 18	3			
i=17:	16,	14	, 1	0,	8,	з,	2, 0	, 1	18		
i=18:	17,	16	, 1	4,	10,	8,	3,	2,	0,	18	
i=18:	16,	14	, 1	0,	8,	3,	2, 0	1, 1	18,		
i=19:	18,	16	, 1	4,	10,	8,	3,	2,	0,	18	

After popping off the redundant copy of p_{18} , we have the precise hull we seek: (0, 2, 3, 8, 10, 14, 16, 18).

Figure 4: Graham Convex Hull Algorithm example from J. O'Rourke, Computational Geometry in C

Buffalo Detroit Pittsburgh Pittsburgh Baltimore										
	Cir	icianati				W	ashing	not		
					(a) I	he gra	ph			
	8#L	8.5	Çıx	c.e	pet	NY	рні	ρ_{r+r}	WASH	
	1	2	3	4	5.	6	7	8	9	
Į		345					97	230	39	Baltimore
2	345			186	252	445	365	217		Buffalo
3				244	265			284	492	Cincinnati
4		1 86	244		167	507		125	į	Teveland
5		252	263	167					[Detroit
6		445		507			92	386	[New York
7	97	365				92		305		Philadelphia
8	230	217	284	125		386	305		231	Pittsburgh
9	39		492					231		Washington

EXAMPLE OF DIJKSTRA'S ALGORITHM

		DISTANCES									
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	8
Heration	Settled	Selected	Bal	Buff	Cine	Clev	Det	NYC	Phi	PItt	Wash
İnıtal			0	345	inf	inf	inf	inf	97	230	39
1	1	9	0	345	531	inf	inf	inf	97	230	39
2	1,9	7	0	345	531	inf	inf	189	97	230	39
з	1,9,7	6	0	345	531	696	inf	189	97	230	39
4	1,9,7,6	8	0	345	514	355	inf	189	97	230	39
5	1,9,7,6,8,	2	0	345	514	355	597	189	97	230	39
6	1,9,7,6,82	4	0	345	514	355	522	189	97	230	39
7	1,9,7,6,8,2,4	3	0	345	514	355	522	189	97	230	39
8	1,9,7,6,8,24,3)	0	345	514	355	522	189	97	230	39

Figure 5: Example of Dijkstra's algorithm for finding shortest path

6. Pseudo Code for Dijkstra's Algorithm (see figure 5)

```
Note: initialize all distances from Start vertex S
to each visible vertex. All unknown distances assumed
infinite. Mark Start Vertex S as VISITED, DIST=0
Dijkstra(Graph G, Source_Vertex S)
{
    While Vertices in G remain UNVISITED
    {
        Find closest Vertex V that is UNVISITED
        Mark V as VISITED
        For each UNVISITED vertex W visible from V
        {
            If (DIST(S,V) + DIST(V,W)) < DIST(S,W)
            then DIST(S,W) = DIST(S,V) + DIST(V,W)
        }
    }
}</pre>
```

- 7. Sketch of Proof that Dijkstra's Algorithm Produces Min Cost Path
 - (a) At each stage of the algorithm, we settle a new node V and that will be the minimum distance from the source node S to V. To prove this, assume the algorithm *does not* report the minimum distance to a node, and let V be the first such node reported as settled yet whose distance reported by Dijkstra, Dist(V), is not a minimum.
 - (b) If Dist(V) is not the minimum cost, then there must be an unsettled node X such that Dist(X) + Edge(X, V) < Dist(V). However, this implies that Dist(X) < Dist(V), and if this were so, Dijkstra's algorithm would have chosen to settle node X before we settled node V since it has a smaller distance value from S. Therefore, Dist(X) cannot be < Dist(V), and Dist(V) is the minium cost path from S to V.

8. Improving Dijkstra: A* Algorithm – Heuristic Search

The A* algorithm searches a graph efficiently, with respect to a chosen heuristic. If the heuristic is "good," then the search is efficient; if the heuristic is "bad," although a path will be found, its search will take more time than probably required and possibly return a suboptimal path. The path cost at a node is F=G+H, where G is the minimum distance to the current node from the start node, and H is the heuristic cost of traveling from the current node to the goal. A* will produce an optimal path if it's heuristic is optimistic. An optimistic, or admissible, heuristic always returns a value less than or equal to the actual cost of the shortest path from the current node to the goal node within the graph.

The A* search has a priority queue which contains a list of nodes sorted by priority. This priority is determined by the sum of the distance from the start node to the current node and the heuristic at the current node. The first node to be put into the priority queue is naturally the start node. Next, we expand the start node by popping the start node and putting all adjacent nodes to the start node into the priority queue sorted by their corresponding priorities (path costs). Note that only unvisited nodes are added to the priority queue. At each step, the highest priority node (i.e. least cost node) is dequeued and expanded until the goal is reached. A* is greedy in that it tries to skew the search towards the goal. Breadth first search can be thought of as search with heuristic function H=0 (i.e. no heuristic).

A* Search on 4- neighbor Grid

- i Breadth First search expands more nodes than A*
- ii A* with a heuristic function =0 becomes Breadth First Search

iii A* is admissible if heuristic cost is an UNDERESTIMATE of the true cost



A* Node Expansion (Example 2)

OPEN LIST - Example 2

Opener	Node	f	g	h	f	expand	order
	[0,0]	0+9	0	9	9	0	
0,0	[1,0]	1+8	1	8	9	1	
1,0	[2,0]	2+7	2	7	9	2	
2,0	[3,0]	3+6	3	6	9	3	
3,0	[4,0]	4+5	4	5	9	4	
4,0	[4,1]	5+4	5	4	9	5	
4,1	[4,2]	6+3	6	3	9	6	
4,2	[4,3]	7+2	7	2	9	7	
4,2	[3,2]	7+4	7	4	11	8	
4,3	[3,3]	8+3	8	3	11	9	
3,2	[2,2]	8+5	8	5	13		
3,3	[2,3]	8+4	8	4	12		
3,3	[3,4]	8+2	8	2	10	10	
3,4	[3,5]	9+1	9	1	10	11	
3,4	[2,4]	9+3	9	3	12		
3,5	[4,5]	goal					







A* Final Path (follow goal node back via Opener node to compute path)

Path Cost= f = g + h g= min distance traveled to this node h= heuristic cost to goal from this node (we are using L1 metric cost on 4-neighbor grid)

A* is admissible if heuristic cost is an UNDERESTIMATE of the true cost: h <= C(i,j)

Robot Path Planning

Overview:

- 1. Visibility Graphs
- 2. Voronoi Graphs
- 3. Potential Fields
- 4. Sampling-Based Planners
 - PRM: Probabilistic Roadmap Methods
 - RRTs: Rapidly-exploring Random Trees

Potential Field Path Planning

- Simple idea: Have robot "attracted" to the goal and "repelled" from the obstacles
- Think of robot as a positively charged particle moving towards negatively charged goal – attractive force
- Obstacles have same charge as robot repelling force
- States far away from goal have large potential energy, goal state has zero potential energy
- Path of robot is from state of high energy to low (zero) energy at the goal
- Think of the planning space as an elevated surface, and the robot is a marble rolling "downhill" towards the goal



Potential Field Path Planning

Attractive Energy: Distance to goal Highly attractive farther away Goes to zero at goal

$$F_{att}(q) = d^2(q, q_{goal})$$

Repelling Energy: Inverse of distance to obstacles $F_{rep}(q) = \frac{1}{d^2(q,Obstacles)}$ Goes to zero as we move away

Potential Function: Sum of energy acting on robot α weights + and - forces

Robot moves along negative gradient of F(q)

$$F(q) = F_{att}(q) + \alpha F_{rep}(q)$$

 $- \nabla F(q)$

Potential Field



- Attractive Potential Function is distance from goal
- High energy away from goal, Zero at goal
- Path is negative gradient, largest change in energy

Potential Field



- Obstacles create high energy barriers
- Gradient descent follows energy minimization path to goal



Local minimum: attractive force (goal) = repulsive force (obstacles)

Potential Field Methods



Local minimum: attractive force = repulsive force Solution: Take a random walk – perturb out of minima Need to remember where you have been!

Potential Fields Summary

- More than just a path planner: Provides simple control function to move robot: gradient descent
- Allows robot to move from wherever it finds itself
- Can get trapped in local minima
- Can be used as online, local method:
 - As robot encounters new obstacles compute the Potential Function onlir
 - Laser/sonar scans give online distance to obstacles

