Concurrency in Java

Prof. Stephen A. Edwards



Copyright © 2001 Stephen A. Edwards All rights reserved

The Java Language

 Developed by James Gosling et al. at Sun Microsystems in the early 1990s



- Originally called "Oak," first intended application was as an OS for TV set top boxes
- Main goals were portability and safety
- Originally for embedded consumer software

Copyright © 2001 Stephen A. Edwards All rights reserved

The Java Language

- Set-top boxes: nobody cared
- Next big application: "applets"
 - · Little programs dynamically added to web browsers
- Enormous Sun marketing blitz
- Partial failure:
 - Incompatible Java implementations



- · Fantastically slow Java interpreters
- Javascript has largely taken over this role
 - High-level scripting language
 - · Has nothing to do with the Java language

Copyright © 2001 Stephen A. Edwards All rights reserved

The Java Language

- Where does Java succeed?
- Corporate programming
 - E.g., dynamic web page generation from large corporate databases in banks
 - Environment demands simpler language
 Unskilled programmers, unreleased software
 - Speed, Space not critical
 Tends to be run on very large servers
 - Main objective is reduced development time

Copyright © 2001 Stephen A. Edwards All rights reserved

The Java Language

- Where does Java succeed?
- Education
 - Well-designed general-purpose programming language
 - Spares programmer from many common pitfalls
 Uninitialized pointers
 - Memory management
 - · Widely known and used, not just a teaching language
- Embedded Systems?
 - Jury is still out

Copyright © 2001 Stephen A. Edwards All rights reserved

Overview of Java

- Derived from C++, but incompatible
- Didn't want to call it "C += 2"?
- No "loose" functions: everything part of a class
- Better package support (no preprocessor)
- Safer object references instead of pointers
- Large, powerful class library
- Automatic garbage collection
 - Programmer spared from memory management



Concurrency in Java

- Language supports threads
- Multiple contexts/program counters running within the same memory space
- All objects can be shared among threads
- Fundamentally nondeterministic
- Language provide some facilities to help avoid it

Copyright © 2001 Stephen A. Edwards All rights reserved

Thread Basics SUN FORMUN How to create a thread: class MyThread extends Thread { public void run() { /* thread body */ } } MyThread mt = new MyThread; // Create thread

Copyright © 2001 Stephen A. Edwards All rights reserved

mt.start();

Thread Basics

- A thread is a separate program counter ... and stack, local variables, etc.
- Not an object or a collection of things
- Classes, objects, methods, etc. do not belong to a thread
- Any method may be executed by one or more threads, even simultaneously

Copyright © 2001 Stephen A. Edwards All rights reserved

The Sleep Method

// Starts thread running at run() // Returns immediately

public void run() { for(;;) { try { sleep(1000); // Pause for 1 second } catch (InterruptedException e) { // caused by thread.interrupt() return; System.out.println("Tick"); } }

Copyright © 2001 Stephen A. Edwards All rights reserved

The Sleep Method

}

}

-	Does this print Tick once a
<pre>public void run() { for(;;) { try {</pre>	second? No.
	sleep() delay a lower bound
	Rest of loop takes indeterminate amount of time
sleep(1000); } catch (Interrupte return:	edException e) {
}	
System.out.println	("Tick");
1	

Races

- In a concurrent world, always assume someone else is accessing your objects
- Other threads are your adversary
- Consider what can happen when simultaneously reading and writing:



Thread 1	Thread 2
f1 = a.field1	a.field1 = 1
f2 = a.field2	a.field2 = 2

Copyright © 2001 Stephen A. Edwards All rights reserved

Races

- Thread 1 goes first
- Thread 1 reads original values

Copyright © 2001 Stephen A. Edwards All rights reserved



Races

- Thread 2 goes first
- Thread 1 reads new values



Copyright © 2001 Stephen A. Edwards All rights reserved

Races

- Interleaved execution
- Thread 1 sees one new value, one old value



Copyright © 2001 Stephen A. Edwards All rights reserved

Non-atomic Operations

- 32-bit reads and writes are guaranteed atomic
- 64-bit operations may not be
- Therefore,



int i; double d;		
Thread 1	Thread 2	and the second second
i = 10;	i = 20;	i will contain 10 or 20
d = 10.0;	d = 20.0;	i might contain garbage

Copyright © 2001 Stephen A. Edwards All rights reserved

Per-Object Locks

- . Each Java object has a lock that may be owned by at least one thread
- A thread waits if it attempts to obtain . an already-obtained lock
- The lock is a counter: one thread may lock an object more than once



The Synchronized Statement

A synchronized statement gets an object's lock before running its body

Counter mycount = new Counter;

synchronized(mycount) { mycount.count(); }

"get the lock for mycount before calling count()"

- Releases the lock when the body terminates
- Choice of object to lock is by convention

Copyright © 2001 Stephen A. Edwards All rights reserved

Synchronized Methods



Copyright © 2001 Stephen A. Edwards All rights reserved

Deadlock

synchronized(Foo) {	synchronized(Bar) {
synchronized(Bar) {	synchronized(Foo) {
/* Deadlocked */	/* Deadlocked */
} }	

Rule: always acquire locks in the same order

Copyright © 2001 Stephen A. Edwards All rights reserved

Priorities

- Each thread has a priority from 1 to 10 (5 typical)
- Scheduler's job is to keep highest-priority threads running
- thread.setPriority(5)

What the Language Spec. Says

From The Java Language Specification

Every thread has a *priority*. When there is competition for processing resources, threads with higher priority are generally executed in preference to threads with lower priority. Such preference is not, however, a guarantee that the highest priority thread will always be running, and thread priorities cannot be used to reliably implement mutual exclusion.

Vague enough for you?

Copyright © 2001 Stephen A. Edwards All rights reserved

Multiple threads at same priority?

Language gives implementer freedom

Copyright © 2001 Stephen A. Edwards All rights reserved

- Calling yield() suspends current thread to allow other at same priority to run ... maybe
- Solaris implementation runs threads until they stop themselves (wait(), yield(), etc.)
- Windows implementation timeslices

Copyright © 2001 Stephen A. Edwards All rights reserved

Starvation

- Not a fair scheduler
- Higher-priority threads can consume all resources, prevent lower-priority threads from running
- This is called starvation
- Timing dependent: function of program, hardware, and Java implementation

Waiting for a Condition

- Say you want a thread to wait for a condition before proceeding
- An infinite loop may deadlock the system

while (!condition) {}

Yielding avoids deadlock, but is very inefficient

while (!condition) yield();

Copyright © 2001 Stephen A. Edwards All rights reserved



Java's Solution: wait() and notify()

 wait() like yield(), but requires other thread to reawaken it

while (!condition) wait();

- Thread that might affect this condition calls() notify to resume the thread
- Programmer responsible for ensuring each wait() has a matching notify()

Copyright © 2001 Stephen A. Edwards All rights reserved

wait() and notify()

 Each object has a set of threads that are waiting for its lock (its wait set)

synchronized (obj) {	// Acquire lock on obj
obj.wait();	// suspend
	// add thread to obj's wait set
	// relinquish locks on obj
In other thread:	
obj.notify();	// enable some waiting thread

Copyright © 2001 Stephen A. Edwards All rights reserved

wait() and notify()

- 1. Thread 1 acquires lock on object
- 2. Thread 1 calls wait() on object
- 3. Thread 1 releases lock on object, adds itself to object's wait set
- 4. Thread 2 calls notify() on object (must own lock)
- 5. Thread 1 is reawakened: it was in object's wait set
- 6. Thread 1 reacquires lock on object
- 7. Thread 1 continues from the wait()

Copyright © 2001 Stephen A. Edwards All rights reserved

wait() and notify()

- Confusing enough?
- notify() nodeterministically chooses one thread to reawaken (may be many waiting on same object)
 What happens when there's more than one?
- notifyAll() enables all waiting threads
 Much safer?

Copyright © 2001 Stephen A. Edwards All rights reserved

Building a Blocking Buffer

class OnePlace { El value;

public synchronized void write(El e) { ... }
public synchronized El read() { ... }

- }
- Idea: One thread at a time can write to or read from the buffer
- Thread will block on read if no data is available
- Thread will block on write if data has not been read

Building a Blocking Buffer

synchronized void write(El e) throws InterruptedException
{
 while (value != null) wait(); // Block while full
 value = e;
 notifyAll(); // Awaken any waiting read
}

public synchronized El read() throws InterruptedException

while (value == null) wait();	// Block while empty
El e = value; value = null;	
notifyAll();	// Awaken any waiting write
return e;	
}	

Copyright © 2001 Stephen A. Edwards All rights reserved

Thread States

